

Banach space properties forcing a reflexive, amenable Banach algebra to be trivial

Volker Runde

Abstract

It is an open problem whether an infinite-dimensional amenable Banach algebra exists whose underlying Banach space is reflexive. We give sufficient conditions for a reflexive, amenable Banach algebra to be finite-dimensional (and thus a finite direct sum of full matrix algebras). If $\mathfrak{A}$ is a reflexive, amenable Banach algebra such that for each maximal left ideal L of $\mathfrak{A}$ (i) the quotient $\mathfrak{A}/L$ has the approximation property and (ii) the canonical map from $\mathfrak{A} \hat{\otimes} L^\perp$ to $(\mathfrak{A}/L) \hat{\otimes} L^\perp$ is open, then $\mathfrak{A}$ is finite-dimensional. As an application, we show that, if $\mathfrak{A}$ is an amenable Banach algebra whose underlying Banach space is an $\mathcal{L}^p$ -space with $p \in (1, \infty)$ such that for each maximal left ideal L the quotient $\mathfrak{A}/L$ has the approximation property, then $\mathfrak{A}$ is finite-dimensional.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 46B10, 46B20, 46H20 (primary), 46H25, 46M18.

Introduction

The question of whether a reflexive, amenable Banach algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ has to be trivial, i.e. if it is necessarily of the form

$$\mathfrak{A} \cong \mathbb{M}_{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{M}_{n_k}$$

with $n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{N}$, was apparently raised for the first time by J. E. Galé, T. J. Ransford, and M. C. White in [5]. In the same paper, they showed that every reflexive, amenable Banach algebra all of whose primitive ideals have finite codimension must be trivial. Generalizing this result, B. E. Johnson proved in [9] that every reflexive, amenable whose maximal left ideals are complemented has to be trivial. This implies, for instance, that the underlying Banach space of an amenable Banach algebra cannot be a Hilbert space ([6]). For a recent refinement of Johnson's result, see [19].

In general, complemented subspaces are rather the exception than the rule in functional analysis (except, of course, in the Hilbert space setting), which makes theorems involving hypotheses of complementedness often less applicable than one would like them to have. In this paper, we establish criteria for the triviality of reflexive, amenable Banach algebras

which avoid complemented subspaces in their hypotheses. Instead, we require certain Banach spaces properties such as the (bounded) approximation property.

In the C^* -algebra situation, the connection between amenability and Banach space properties is well established: An amenable C^* -algebra is nuclear ([2, 1]), and thus has the (metric) approximation property ([3]). In [11], this fact was used to prove that $\ell^\infty\text{-}\bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty \mathbb{M}_n$ cannot be amenable. In [17], we showed that any reflexive, amenable Banach algebra with the approximation property such that every bounded linear map from $\mathfrak{A}$ into $\mathfrak{A}^*$ is compact, has to be trivial (in fact, it is sufficient that the almost periodic functionals separate the points of $\mathfrak{A}$; see [18]). In conjunction with Pitt's theorem ([10, p. 208]), this implies that the underlying Banach space of an amenable Banach algebra cannot be ℓ^p with $p > 2$.

In this paper, we shall first establish a general theorem — involving no complemented subspaces in its hypotheses — which gives two sufficient conditions for a reflexive, amenable Banach algebra to be trivial. We shall then apply this theorem to Banach algebras whose underlying Banach spaces are $\mathcal{L}^p$ -spaces in the sense of [13] with $p \in (1, \infty)$.

1 Preliminaries

Amenable Banach algebras were introduced by B. E. Johnson in [7] in terms of a cohomological triviality condition. In this paper, however, we require a characterization of amenable Banach algebras given in [8].

We write $\otimes$ for the algebraic tensor product of Banach spaces, $\hat{\otimes}$ for the projective tensor product, and $\check{\otimes}$ for the injective tensor product; our standard source on Banach space tensor products is [4]. If $\mathfrak{A}$ is a Banach algebra, then $\mathfrak{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}$ (and $\mathfrak{A} \check{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}$, as well) is a Banach $\mathfrak{A}$ -bimodule with the operations

$$a \cdot (x \otimes y) := ax \otimes y \quad \text{and} \quad (x \otimes y) \cdot a := x \otimes ya \quad (a, x, y \in \mathfrak{A}).$$

We use $\Delta: \mathfrak{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ to denote the linear operator induced by the algebra multiplication, i.e. $\Delta(a \otimes b) = ab$ for $a, b \in \mathfrak{A}$.

An *approximate diagonal* for a Banach algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ is a bounded net $(m_\alpha)_\alpha$ in $\mathfrak{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}$ such that

$$a \cdot m_\alpha - m_\alpha \cdot a \rightarrow 0 \quad (a \in \mathfrak{A}) \tag{1}$$

and

$$a \Delta m_\alpha \rightarrow a \quad (a \in \mathfrak{A}). \tag{2}$$

As was shown in [8], a Banach algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ is amenable if and only if it has an approximate diagonal. If an amenable Banach algebra has an approximate diagonal bounded by $\lambda \geq 1$, we say that $\mathfrak{A}$ is λ -amenable.

For any two Banach spaces E and F , we write $\mathcal{F}(E, F)$ for the bounded finite rank operators from E into F ; by $\mathcal{A}(E, F)$, we denote the approximable operators from E into F , i.e. the norm closure of $\mathcal{F}(E, F)$. We simply write $\mathcal{F}(E)$ and $\mathcal{A}(E)$ instead of $\mathcal{F}(E, E)$ and $\mathcal{A}(E, E)$, respectively. A Banach space E is said to have the *approximation property*, if there is a net $(T_\alpha)_\alpha$ in $\mathcal{F}(E)$ such that $T_\alpha \rightarrow \text{id}_E$ uniformly on compact subsets of E . If this net $(T_\alpha)_\alpha$ can be chosen to be bounded in norm by some $\lambda \geq 1$, we say that $(T_\alpha)_\alpha$ has the λ -*approximation property*; the 1-approximation property is also called *metric approximation property*. If a Banach space has the λ -approximation property for some $\lambda \geq 1$, it is said to have the *bounded approximation property*. All these approximation properties can also be defined in terms of nets in $\mathcal{A}(E)$.

In general, the approximation property is not inherited by closed subspaces or quotients (see [14]). For certain amenable Banach algebras (and the appropriate sub- and quotient structures), however, such hereditary properties exist. We say that a Banach algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ has compact multiplication if, for each $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, the operators L_a and R_a of multiplication by a from the left and from the right, respectively, are compact.

Proposition 1.1 *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a semisimple λ -amenable Banach algebra with compact multiplication which has the approximation property. Then each closed, one-sided ideal of $\mathfrak{A}$ and each quotient of $\mathfrak{A}$ by such an ideal has the λ -approximation property. In particular, $\mathfrak{A}$ itself has the λ -approximation property.*

Proof Let $(m_\alpha)_\alpha$ be an approximate diagonal for $\mathfrak{A}$ bounded by λ . Then, by (1) and (2), $(\Delta m_\alpha)_\alpha$ is a bounded approximate identity for $\mathfrak{A}$ bounded by λ . From the structure result [15, Corollary 3.3], it follows that $\mathfrak{A}$ has a bounded approximate identity $(e_\beta)_{\beta \in \mathbb{B}}$ bounded by λ such that, for each $\beta \in \mathbb{B}$, the operators L_{e_β} and R_{e_β} belong to $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{A})$.

Let L be a closed left ideal of $\mathfrak{A}$ (right ideals can be treated analogously). Then $(L_{e_\beta}|_L)_{\beta \in \mathbb{B}}$ is a net in $\mathcal{F}(L)$ bounded by λ such that $L_{e_\beta}|_L \rightarrow \text{id}_L$ pointwise and hence uniformly on compact subsets of L . A similar argument shows that $\mathfrak{A}/L$ has the λ -approximation property. $\square$

Remark If we only require $\mathfrak{A}$ to have a left approximate identity bounded by $\lambda \geq 1$ and that $\mathfrak{A}$ has compact left multiplication, we can still show that, for each closed left ideal L of $\mathfrak{A}$, both L and $\mathfrak{A}/L$ have what one might call the compact λ -approximation property (see [14, I, p. 94] for the definition of the compact approximation property).

2 A general theorem

In this section, we shall prove a general theorem which establishes that a reflexive, amenable Banach algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ has to be trivial provided that two additional demands are satisfied. The first of these requirements is that every quotient of $\mathfrak{A}$ modulo a maximal

left ideal has to have the approximation property, and the second one is that a certain tensor product of maps between injective tensor products has to be open (or, equivalently, surjective).

The technical heart of our argument is the following lemma (if F is a subspace of a Banach space E , we write $F^\perp$ for its annihilator in E^*):

Lemma 2.1 *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be an amenable Banach algebra, and let $L \neq \mathfrak{A}$ be a closed left ideal of $\mathfrak{A}$ such that:*

- (i) $\mathfrak{A}/L$ has the bounded approximation property;
- (ii) if $\pi_L: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}/L$ is the canonical surjection and, $\pi_L \otimes \text{id}_{L^\perp}: \mathfrak{A} \check{\otimes} L^\perp \rightarrow (\mathfrak{A}/L) \check{\otimes} L^\perp$ is open.

Then there is $e \in \mathfrak{A}^{**} \setminus L^{**}$ such that $Le = \{0\}$.

Proof Let $(m_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{A}}$ be an approximate diagonal for $\mathfrak{A}$. For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{A}$, m_α has the form

$$m_\alpha = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{(\alpha)} \otimes b_n^{(\alpha)},$$

where we may suppose that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|a_n^{(\alpha)}\| < \infty$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|b_n^{(\alpha)}\| = 0$. It follows that, for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{A}$, the set $\{\pi_L(b_n^{(\alpha)}) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is relatively (norm) compact. Since $\mathfrak{A}/L$ was supposed to have the bounded approximation property, there is $\lambda \geq 1$ such that, for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{A}$ and for each $\epsilon > 0$, there is $S_{\alpha, \epsilon} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{A}/L)$ with $\|S_{\alpha, \epsilon}\| \leq \lambda$ and

$$\|S_{\alpha, \epsilon}(\pi_L(b_n^{(\alpha)})) - \pi_L(b_n^{(\alpha)})\| < \frac{\epsilon}{1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|a_n^{(\alpha)}\|} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Since $(\mathfrak{A}/L)^* \cong L^\perp$, we may identify $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{A}/L)$ with $(\mathfrak{A}/L) \check{\otimes} L^\perp$ ([4, 4.2]). By (ii) there is a constant $C \geq 1$ such that, for each $\mathbf{x} \in (\mathfrak{A}/L) \check{\otimes} L^\perp$, there is $\mathbf{y} \in \mathfrak{A} \check{\otimes} L^\perp$ with $\|\mathbf{y}\| \leq C\|\mathbf{x}\|$ and $(\pi_L \otimes \text{id}_{L^\perp})(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{x}$. We may thus find, for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{A}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, an operator $T_{\alpha, \epsilon} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{A}/L, \mathfrak{A}) \cong \mathfrak{A} \check{\otimes} L^\perp$ with $\|T_{\alpha, \epsilon}\| \leq \lambda C$ such that $\pi_L \circ T_{\alpha, \epsilon} = S_{\alpha, \epsilon}$. Define

$$e_{\alpha, \epsilon} := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{(\alpha)} T_{\alpha, \epsilon}(\pi_L(b_n^{(\alpha)})) \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{A}, \epsilon > 0).$$

It is easy to see that $(e_{\alpha, \epsilon})_{\alpha, \epsilon}$ is a bounded net in $\mathfrak{A}$ and thus has a w^* -accumulation point $e \in \mathfrak{A}^{**}$. Without loss of generality suppose that $e = w^*\text{-}\lim_{\alpha, \epsilon} e_{\alpha, \epsilon}$. We show that

$\pi_L^{**}(e) \neq 0$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_L^{**}(e) &= w^*-\lim_{\alpha, \epsilon} \pi_L \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{(\alpha)} T_{\alpha, \epsilon}(\pi_L(b_n^{(\alpha)})) \right) \\
&= w^*-\lim_{\alpha, \epsilon} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{(\alpha)} \cdot \pi_L(T_{\alpha, \epsilon}(\pi_L(b_n^{(\alpha)}))) \\
&= w^*-\lim_{\alpha, \epsilon} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{(\alpha)} \cdot S_{\alpha, \epsilon}(\pi_L(b_n^{(\alpha)})), \quad \text{by the choice of } T_{\alpha, \epsilon}, \\
&= w^*-\lim_{\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{(\alpha)} \cdot \pi_L(b_n^{(\alpha)}), \quad \text{by the choice of } S_{\alpha, \epsilon}, \\
&= w^*-\lim_{\alpha} \pi_L \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{(\alpha)} b_n^{(\alpha)} \right) \\
&\neq 0, \quad \text{by (2).}
\end{aligned}$$

Let $a \in L$. Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{(\alpha)} T_{\alpha, \epsilon}(\pi_L(b_n^{(\alpha)} a)) = 0 \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{A}, \epsilon > 0) \quad (3)$$

since L is a left ideal. We then have:

$$\begin{aligned}
ae &= w^*-\lim_{\alpha, \epsilon} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a a_n^{(\alpha)} T_{\alpha, \epsilon}(\pi_L(b_n^{(\alpha)})) \\
&= w^*-\lim_{\alpha, \epsilon} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{(\alpha)} T_{\alpha, \epsilon}(\pi_L(b_n^{(\alpha)} a)), \quad \text{by (1),} \\
&= 0, \quad \text{by (3).}
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Remark We do not know if condition (ii) of Lemma 2.1 is automatically satisfied: There are examples of Banach spaces E and X and of a closed subspace F of E such that $\pi_F \otimes \text{id}_X : E \check{\otimes} X \rightarrow (E/F) \check{\otimes} X$ is not open ([4, 4.3]). These examples, however, do not involve any Banach algebra structure, let alone amenability.

Theorem 2.2 *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a reflexive, amenable Banach algebra such that for each maximal left ideal L of $\mathfrak{A}$:*

- (i) $\mathfrak{A}/L$ has the approximation property;
- (ii) if $\pi_L : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}/L$ is the canonical surjection, $\pi_L \otimes \text{id}_{L^\perp} : \mathfrak{A} \check{\otimes} L^\perp \rightarrow (\mathfrak{A}/L) \check{\otimes} L^\perp$ is open.

Then $\mathfrak{A}$ is trivial.

Proof Let L be a maximal left ideal of $\mathfrak{A}$. Since $\mathfrak{A}$ is reflexive, so is $\mathfrak{A}/L$. Hence, by [4, 16.4, Corollary 4], $\mathfrak{A}/L$ has the metric approximation property. By Lemma 2.1, there is thus an element $e \in \mathfrak{A} \setminus L$ such that $Le = \{0\}$. Since L was arbitrary, it follows that $\mathfrak{A}/\text{rad}(\mathfrak{A})$ is a modular right annihilator algebra in the sense of [16, 8.4.6 Definition]. By [16, 8.4.14 Proposition], this means that $\mathfrak{A}/\text{rad}(\mathfrak{A})$ is finite-dimensional. It follows from standard results on the splitting of exact sequences (compare the proof of [17, Proposition 2.3]) that $\mathfrak{A}$ must be trivial. $\square$

Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a Banach algebra, and let L be a *complemented* left ideal in $\mathfrak{A}$. Then $\pi_L \otimes \text{id}_{L^\perp} : \mathfrak{A} \hat{\otimes} L^\perp \rightarrow (\mathfrak{A}/L) \hat{\otimes} L^\perp$ has a bounded right inverse, and thus, in particular, is open. As a special case of Theorem 2.2 we therefore obtain [6, Proposition 1.10]:

Corollary 2.3 *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be an amenable Banach algebra whose underlying Banach space is a Hilbert space. Then $\mathfrak{A}$ is trivial.*

3 $\mathcal{L}^p$ -spaces for $p \in (1, \infty)$

Of course, it would be rather unsatisfactory if all what Theorem 2.2 could accomplish were to recover the Hilbert space case. In this section, we consider Banach algebras whose underlying Banach space is an $\mathcal{L}^p$ -space for $p \in (1, \infty)$. For $p \in [1, \infty]$, the $\mathcal{L}^p$ -spaces were introduced in [12], and were later investigated in greater detail in [13]. All L^p -spaces are $\mathcal{L}^p$ -spaces. For $p \in (1, \infty)$, the $\mathcal{L}^p$ -spaces are reflexive ([13, Theorem I(i)]), and are isomorphic to complemented subspaces of L^p -spaces ([13, Theorem I(ii)]).

We shall work, however, in a more abstract framework:

Definition 3.1 Let E be a Banach space, and let $\lambda \geq 1$. Then:

- (i) E has property (P_λ) if, for each finite-dimensional subspace F of E , there is a finite-dimensional subspace X of E containing F such that there is a projection of norm not greater than λ onto X .
- (ii) E has property (Q_λ) if, for each closed subspace F of E with finite codimension, there is a closed subspace X of F with finite codimension in E such that the canonical surjection $\pi_X : E \rightarrow E/X$ has a bounded right inverse of norm not greater than λ .

Remark Trivially, every Banach space with property (P_λ) for some $\lambda \geq 1$ has the λ -approximation property.

Examples 1. Every Hilbert space has both property (P_1) and (Q_1) .

2. If E is an $\mathcal{L}^p$ -space with $p \in [1, \infty]$, then E has property (P_λ) for some $\lambda \geq 1$ ([13, Theorem III(c)]).

There is a natural relation between properties (P_λ) and (Q_λ) :

Lemma 3.2 *Let E be a Banach space, let $\lambda \geq 1$, and suppose that E^* has property (P_λ) . Then E has property $(Q_{\lambda(1+\epsilon)})$ for every $\epsilon > 0$.*

Proof Let F be a closed subspace of E with finite codimension. Then $F^\perp$ is a finite-dimensional subspace of E^* . Since E^* has property (P_λ) , there is a finite-dimensional subspace Y of E^* containing $F^\perp$ such that there is a projection $P: E^* \rightarrow Y$ with $\|P\| \leq \lambda$. Let $X = {}^\perp Y$. Then X is a closed subspace of E with finite codimension such that $E/X \cong E^{**}/X^{**} \cong Y^*$ isometrically. Let $\pi_X: E \rightarrow E/X$ be the canonical projection. With the appropriate identifications in place, it follows that $P^{**}: E/X \rightarrow E^{**}$ is a right inverse of π_X^{**} with $\|P^{**}\| \leq \lambda$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$, and let $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \in E^*$ be a Hamel basis of Y . Since $\text{Im } P^{**}$ is finite-dimensional, it follows from the principle of local reflexivity (see, e.g., [4, 6.6]) that there is a linear map $T: \text{Im } P^{**} \rightarrow E$ with $\|T\| \leq 1 + \epsilon$ such that $T_{E \cap \text{Im } P^{**}} = \text{id}_{E \cap \text{Im } P^{**}}$ and

$$\langle TP^{**}x, \phi_j \rangle = \langle \phi_j, P^{**} \rangle \quad (x \in E^{**}, j = 1, \dots, n). \quad (4)$$

Let $Q := TP^{**}$. Then (4) implies that $Q: E/X \rightarrow E$ is a right inverse of π_X , and certainly $\|Q\| \leq \lambda(1 + \epsilon)$. $\square$

Remark If E is reflexive, the application of the local reflexivity principle in the proof of Lemma 3.2 becomes unnecessary, so that property (P_λ) for E^* implies property (Q_λ) for E .

The relevance of property (Q_λ) in connection with condition (ii) of Lemma 2.1 becomes apparent in our next lemma:

Lemma 3.3 *Let E be a reflexive Banach space which has property (Q_λ) for some $\lambda \geq 1$, let F be a closed subspace of E , and let $\pi_F: E \rightarrow E/F$ be the canonical map. Then, for any Banach space X , $\pi_F \otimes \text{id}_X: E \check{\otimes} X \rightarrow (E/F) \check{\otimes} X$ is open.*

Proof We consider $\pi_F \otimes \text{id}_X: E \otimes X \rightarrow (E/F) \otimes X$. We shall prove that, for each $\mathbf{y} \in (E/F) \otimes X$ there is $\mathbf{x} \in E \otimes X$ with $(\pi_F \otimes \text{id}_X)(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{y}$ and $\|\mathbf{x}\| \leq \lambda\|\mathbf{y}\|$, where the norm is the injective norm. Certainly, $\mathbf{y} \in (E/F) \otimes Z$ for some finite-dimensional subspace Z of X . Since the injective norm respects subspaces isometrically ([4, 4.3, Proposition]), we may suppose without loss of generality that $\dim X < \infty$. Note that under this hypothesis, $E \otimes X = E \check{\otimes} X$ is a reflexive Banach space.

Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be the collection of all closed subspaces of E containing F and having finite codimension. Defining

$$Y_1 \prec Y_2 \quad :\Longleftrightarrow \quad Y_1 \supset Y_2 \quad (Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathcal{Y})$$

Since E has property (Q_λ) , there is, for each $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$, a closed subspace $\tilde{Y}$ of Y with finite codimension in E such that the canonical surjection $\pi_{\tilde{Y}}: E \rightarrow E/\tilde{Y}$ has a right inverse of norm not greater than λ .

Let $\mathbf{y} \in (E/F) \otimes X$. Then, by the foregoing, there is, for each $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$, an element $\mathbf{x}_Y \in E \otimes X$ with $\|\mathbf{x}_Y\| \leq \lambda\|\mathbf{x}\|$ such that $(\pi_Y \otimes \text{id}_X)(\mathbf{x}_Y) = (\tilde{\pi}_Y \otimes \text{id}_X)(\mathbf{y})$ (here, $\tilde{\pi}_Y: E/F \rightarrow E/Y$ is the map induced by the canonical surjection $\pi_Y: E \rightarrow E/Y$). The net $(\mathbf{x}_Y)_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}}$ is bounded in $E \otimes X$ and thus has a weak accumulation point $\mathbf{x}$. It follows that

$$(\pi_Y \otimes \text{id}_X)(\mathbf{x}) = (\tilde{\pi}_Y \otimes \text{id}_X)(\mathbf{y}) \quad (Y \in \mathcal{Y}),$$

and hence that $(\pi_F \otimes \text{id}_X)(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{y}$. $\square$

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 3.3, we obtain:

Theorem 3.4 *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a reflexive, amenable Banach algebra such that:*

- (i) *$\mathfrak{A}/L$ has the approximation property for each maximal left ideal L of $\mathfrak{A}$;*
- (ii) *$\mathfrak{A}$ has property (Q_λ) for some $\lambda \geq 1$.*

Then $\mathfrak{A}$ is trivial.

For $\mathcal{L}^p$ -spaces, we obtain:

Corollary 3.5 *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be an amenable Banach algebra whose underlying Banach space is an $\mathcal{L}^p$ -space with $p \in (1, \infty)$. Then one of the following holds:*

- (i) *$\mathfrak{A}$ is trivial;*
- (ii) *there is a maximal left ideal L of $\mathfrak{A}$ such $\mathfrak{A}/L$ lacks the approximation property.*

Proof By [13, Theorem I(i)], $\mathfrak{A}$ is reflexive, and by [13, Theorem III(a)], $\mathfrak{A}^*$ is an $\mathcal{L}^q$ -space, where $q^{-1} + p^{-1} = 1$. As we already noted after Definition 3.1, $\mathfrak{A}^*$ has property (P_λ) for some $\lambda \geq 1$. Hence, by the remark following Lemma 3.3, $\mathfrak{A}$ has property (Q_λ) as well. Consequently, if $\mathfrak{A}/L$ has the approximation property for each maximal left ideal L of $\mathfrak{A}$, Theorem 3.4 forces $\mathfrak{A}$ to be trivial. $\square$

- Remarks* 1. Let $p \in (1, \infty) \setminus \{2\}$, and let E be an $\mathcal{L}^p$ -space. Then it follows from [13, Theorem I(iv)] and either [14, I, Theorem 2.d.6] (for the case $p < 2$) or [14, II, Theorem 1.g.4] (for the case $p > 2$) that E has a quotient which lacks the approximation property. For $p \neq 2$, we thus cannot *a priori* rule out case (ii) in Corollary 3.5.
2. Both [14, I, Theorem 2.d.6] and [14, II, Theorem 1.g.4] are pure Banach space results, i.e. they involve no Banach *algebra* structure whatsoever. Hence, it may well be that case (ii) of Corollary 3.5 does never occur. In view of Proposition 1.1, we raise the following formal question: If $\mathfrak{A}$ is an amenable Banach algebra which has the approximation property, does $\mathfrak{A}/L$ have the approximation property for each closed left ideal L of $\mathfrak{A}$?

References

- [1] J. W. BUNCE and W. L. PASCHKE, Quasi-expectations and amenable von Neumann algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **71** (1978), 232–236.
- [2] A. CONNES, On the cohomology of operator algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.* **28** (1978), 248–253.
- [3] M. D. CHOI and E. EFFROS, Nuclear C^* -algebras and the approximation property. *Amer. J. Math.* **100** (1978), 61–80.
- [4] A. DEFANT and O. K. FLORET, *Tensor Norms and Operator Ideals*. North-Holland, Mathematics Studies **176**, 1993.
- [5] J. E. GALÉ, T. J. RANSFORD, and M. C. WHITE, Weakly compact homomorphisms. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **331** (1992), 815–824.
- [6] F. GHAHRAMANI, R. J. LOY, and G. A. WILLIS, Amenability and weak amenability of second conjugate Banach algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124** (1996), 1489–1497.
- [7] B. E. JOHNSON, Cohomology in Banach algebras. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **127** (1972).
- [8] B. E. JOHNSON, Approximate diagonals and cohomology of certain annihilator Banach algebras. *Amer. J. Math.* **94** (1972), 685–698.
- [9] B. E. JOHNSON, Weakly compact homomorphisms between Banach algebras. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **112** (1992), 157–163.
- [10] G. KÖTHE, *Topological Vector Spaces*, II. Springer Verlag, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften **237**, 1979.
- [11] A. T.-M. LAU, R. J. LOY, and G. A. WILLIS, Amenability of Banach and C^* -algebras on locally compact groups. *Studia Math.* **119** (1996), 161–178.

- [12] J. LINDENSTRAUSS and A. PEŁCZYŃSKI, Absolutely summing operators in $\mathcal{L}^p$ -spaces and their applications. *Studia Math.* **29** (1968), 275–326.
- [13] J. LINDENSTRAUSS and H. P. ROSENTHAL, The $\mathcal{L}_p$ spaces. *Israel J. Math.* **7** (1969), 325–349.
- [14] J. LINDENSTRAUSS and L. TZAFRIRI, *Classical Banach Spaces*, I and II. Springer Verlag, Classics in Mathematics, 1996.
- [15] R. J. LOY, C. J. READ, V. RUNDE, and G. A. WILLIS, Amenable and weakly amenable Banach algebras with compact multiplication. *J. Functional Analysis* (to appear).
- [16] T. W. PALMER, *Banach Algebras and the General Theory of $*$ -Algebras*, I. Cambridge University Press, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications **49**, 1994.
- [17] V. RUNDE, The structure of contractible and amenable Banach algebras. In: E. ALBRECHT and M. MATHIEU, *Banach Algebras '97*. Walter de Gruyter, 1998.
- [18] V. RUNDE, Amenability for dual Banach algebras. Preprint (1999).
- [19] Y. ZHANG, Maximal ideals and the structure of contractible and amenable Banach algebras. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* (to appear).

Address: Department of Mathematical Sciences
 University of Alberta
 Edmonton, Alberta
 Canada T6G 2G1

E-mail: `runde@math.ualberta.ca`